

DOS2611

229961

Easterling, Deborah

From: Easterling, Deborah
Sent: Wednesday, May 25, 2011 9:47 AM
To: 'Katie Ailstock'
Subject: RE: Letter of Protest - Ailstock

Dear Mr. Ailstock:

This is to acknowledge receipt of your email to our PSC Website Comments.

I am forwarding your email to our Clerk's Office for handling. Your email will become a part of Docket No. 2011-47-WS and will be posted on our website under this Docket.

Please let me know if you should require any additional information.


Sincerely,

Deborah Easterling

From: Katie Ailstock [<mailto:ailstockk@cmhservices.net>]
Sent: Wednesday, May 25, 2011 9:27 AM
To: Contact
Subject: Letter of Protest - Ailstock

RECEIVED
MAY 25 2011
PSC SC
MAIL / DMS

Public Service Commission of South Carolina
101 Executive Center Dr., Suite 100
Columbia, SC 29210



Phone: 803-896-5100

Fax: 803-896-5199

www.psc.sc.gov

Email form to: contact@psc.sc.gov

* Required Fields

Letter of Protest
in Docket * 2011 - 47 - WS

Print

Email

Date: * May 25, 2011

Protestant Information:

Name * Brian Ailstock

Mailing Address * 104 Loskin Lane

City, State Zip * Lexington, SC 29073 Phone * 8033818566

E-mail bklk96@hotmail.com

1. What is your connection or interest in this case? * For example, are you a customer of the Company that is the subject of this pending proceeding? (This section must be completed. Attach additional information if necessary.)

I am a water and sewer customer of Carolina Water Service, Incorporated in the Maple Grove subdivision in Lexington, SC. I am considered part of the "1-20" water system, am a "Water Distribution Only" customer, and a standard sewer customer.

2. Please give a concise statement of your protest. * (This section must be completed. Attach additional information if necessary.)

- Lost water expense should not be covered by customers, leaves little incentive for CWS to decrease this amount
- Pass-through mechanism be changed in the new rate structure that customers should only be billed for the gallons W. Col. water that pass through my meter and only at the rate that W. Col. charges CWS
- The percent of increase that CWS is asking is not fair and justified, I have not seen any capital improvements in my neighborhood and understand that CWS has consolidated services, should justify less staff and expenses
- The current rate is one of the highest in the state, we do not have a choice of who we get our water from, leaving us little option in receiving a necessity of life
- Distribution only customers should not have the same costs go into their base facilities charge and commodity charge as non-distribution only customers

3. Do you wish to make an appearance at a hearing in this proceeding, if scheduled, and offer sworn testimony? *
(This section should be completed.)

no